

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Trends in self-rated health in European and North-American adolescents from 2002 to 2010 in 32 countries

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1521396> since 2016-06-26T21:56:48Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1093/eurpub/ckv011

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Cavallo F, Dalmasso P, Ottová-Jordan V, Brooks F, Mazur J, Välimaa R, Gobina I, Gaspar de Matos M, Raven-Sieberer U; Positive Health Focus Group.

Trends in self-rated health in European and North-American adolescents from 2002 to 2010 in 32 countries.

Eur J Public Health. 2015 Apr;25 Suppl 2:13-5. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckv011.

The publisher's version is available at:

[http://eurpub.oxfordjournals.org/content/25/suppl_2/13.long]

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Trends in self-rated health in European and North-American adolescents from 2002 to 2010 in 32 countries.

Franco Cavallo¹, P. Dalmasso¹, Veronika Ottová-Jordan², Fiona Brooks³, Joanna Mazur⁴, Raili Välimaa⁵, Inese Gobina⁶, Margarida Gaspar de Matos⁷, Ulrike Raven-Sieberer² and the Positive Health Focus Group

¹ Department of Public Health and Pediatrics, University of Torino, Italy

²Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychotherapy, and Psychosomatics, Research Unit Child Public Health, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf

³Head of Adolescent and Child Health Research, CRIPACC, University of Hertfordshire, UK

⁴Institute of Mother and Child, Warsaw, Poland

⁵Department of Health Sciences, University of Jyväskylä, Finland

⁶Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, Riga Stradiņš University, Riga, Latvia

⁷University of Lisbon, Portugal & CMDT/ Institute of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

Corresponding author:

Prof. Franco Cavallo, MD, Department of Public Health and Pediatrics, University of Torino, Via Santena, 5-bis, 10126 Torino (Italy), Tel.: +390116705811, Fax: +390112365811, e-mail:

franco.cavallo@unito.it

Abstract

Background: Self-Rated Health in adolescence is known to be associated with health outcomes in later life. We carried out a trend analysis on data coming from three waves of data collected in 32 countries (mostly European) from 2002 to 2010 coming from the Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children surveys.

Methods: Self-Rated Health in adolescents was assessed using a Likert scale (excellent, good, fair and poor). Responses were dichotomized into 'excellent' vs. 'rest'. Country, age and gender groups were compared based on the OR of declaring excellent Self-Rated Health in 2010 with respect to 2002 and 2006.

Results: The trend for European adolescents indicates an improvement over the last decade, although, in the majority of countries, a higher proportion of adolescents rate their health as excellent during the period 2002-06 with respect to the second half of the decade (2006-10). Girls were found to constantly rate their health as poorer, compared to their male peers, in all countries. Age has also a very stable trend towards a decreasing rating of health with increasing age.

Conclusion: Decreased rating of health in the period 2006-2010 may be a signal of the socio-economic difficulties of Europe in the last part of this decade.

Key words: Self-Rated Health, adolescents, HBSC, trends

Introduction

Being in good physical and emotional health enables young people to deal with the challenges of adolescence and eases their transition to adulthood¹.

Self-Rated Health (SRH) is a subjective indicator of general health. Young people's appraisal of their health is thought to be shaped by their overall sense of functioning, including physical and psychological health dimensions^{2, 3}, (emotional well-being, relationships with parents and peers, school acceptance) and is associated with a broad range of health indicators: medical, psychological, social and health behaviours³.

Background characteristics that are associated with poor self-rated health include a non-intact family structure, poor communication with parents⁴ and low family affluence. Cultural and social status are also significant, together with migrant status, level of education, access to education and the level of health and social services⁵.

Cavallo et al⁶ reported a gender by age interaction in self-rated health, with girls reporting poorer health across ages 11 to 15.

Using the 1998 Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HBSC) material, Kelleher et al⁷ found psychosocial, demographic, and health-related correlates of self-rated health such as more health complaints, lower life satisfaction, less physical activity and more difficulties in making friends. In another study on the 1997/98 data, Torsheim et al⁸ reported a strong relationship between material circumstances at individual, school and country level and poor self-rated health.

Also non-HBSC studies confirm that there are multiple independent correlates of adolescent SRH⁹, and that age-related increase in self-rated poor health can be observed during adolescence¹⁰.

Self-rated health can be distinguished from more specific health constructs in that it captures an overall conception of health. The relevance of such general perceptions has been demonstrated in a

number of empirical studies in which self-reported health has been an independent predictor of mortality, even after accounting for known demographic, social and medical risk factors¹¹.

Few papers^{12,13} have analysed SRH trends across time, but mainly in single countries; whereas the HBSC dataset allows for a very wide comparison of trends among almost all European countries over a 10 year cycle, a period where many important changes have taken place in Europe as well as around the world. For this reason, the underlying assumption of our study is that change in adolescent self-rated health across the last decade might be, at least partially, influenced by macro socio-economic conditions during this period.

Methods

Data from the Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HBSC) 2002, 2006 and 2010 surveys were analysed.

The HBSC study has been collecting cross-sectional data on nationally representative samples of 11-, 13-, and 15-year olds since 2001/02 in more than 30 countries in Europe and North America. Surveys are conducted every four years using standardized procedures for sampling (cluster sampling of classes in the selected schools) and data collection (a standardised questionnaire translated and back-translated from English in each national languages, anonymously filled-in by the children during school-class time).

Details on the general methodology of the HBSC survey have been published elsewhere¹⁴.

Among the 42 countries in the 2010 survey, only 32 participated in all three surveys (including Flemish and Francophone Belgium, Scotland, Wales and England as separate countries) and were included in the analyses.

The variable relative to children's Self-Rated Health was analysed for the three surveys in conjunction with age and gender of the children. The measure of SRH has four answer categories (poor, fair, good

and excellent), which for the purpose of the analysis were dichotomized in 'excellent' vs. all the other three categories. The reason for this classification was that the term "fair" and "poor" are understood differently across countries. In order to avoid bias in either a positive or a negative direction, the decision was to classify the three lower categories in one class.

Comparisons between countries, age groups and gender was then based on the computation, separately for each country, of the Odds Ratio of declaring an excellent SRH in 2010 with respect to 2002, and for the two periods separately, 2006 vs. 2002 and 2010 vs. 2006.

Data were modelled by a multivariate logistic regression where SRH (dichotomized in 'excellent' vs. 'all others') was the dependent variable and survey year, gender (males taken as reference) and age (11 year old school-students taken as reference) the independent ones.

A p-value for each OR was computed, presenting significance at the traditional values of 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001.

All analyses were performed using STATA v12.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX:StataCorp LP).

Results

By comparing the year 2010 to 2002, five countries (Belgium-Flemish, Finland, Greenland, Hungary and Poland) showed a significantly lower level of Self-Rated Health in 2010, with the lowest ORs in Poland and Greenland (0.467 and 0.692 respectively). In USA, Czech Republic, Denmark and Francophone Belgium, the difference was not statistically significant. In all other countries adolescents reported a higher level of SRH in 2010, with the highest change in Russia and Greece (2.12 and 1.99 respectively (Table 1).

Analysing the two periods separately (2006 vs. 2002 and 2010 vs. 2006), most countries demonstrate a consistent pattern over the total period (2002-2010). An exception is represented by Finland, Greenland and Hungary, where the negative trend 2002-2010 can probably be attributed to the

negative score in the first period, as the second one seems to be stable around the value of 1.

Another one is Poland, where the situation is quite stable during the first period, while the second one brought about a sharp decrease, from an OR of 1 to the value of 0.467. Three other countries with no coherent trend within the two periods are Greece, with the sharpest change, going from a 2.27 in the 2002-06 period to a drop to 0.88 in the second one; Denmark and Austria, with a similar trend, even if the difference between first and second period is less marked (1.12 during 2002-06 vs. 0.83 during 2006-10 in Denmark and from 1.35 vs. 0.86 in Austria).

Two other countries show an opposite trend, Czech Republic and USA, which go from a significant decrease in the first period to a significant increase in the second one.

In general, in the majority of countries, a higher proportion of adolescents rate their health as excellent during the period 2002-06 with respect to the second half of the decade (2006-10).

In terms of gender, girls were found to consistently rate their health as poorer, compared to their male peers, in all countries, ranging from a minimum of 0.41 in Ukraine to a maximum of 0.74 in Estonia.

The impact of age also has a very stable trend towards a decreasing rating of the adolescents' health from 11 through 13 up to 15 years of age. All decreasing ORs are highly significant ($p < 0.001$), except for 13-year-olds in Estonia and Czech Republic.

Discussion

The strength of this study lies on the quantity and quality of data, collected in comparable ways and with similar protocols in all involved countries, allowing for the first time to obtain a cross-national view of a decennial trend in SRH in youth in Europe and North America.

The main limitation relates to the fact that comparison is based on relative changes, not on absolute levels. A country with a sharp decline from a high value may still end up having better life-satisfaction than a country which starts with a low value and shows a sharp increase. For the aim of the study this

might not be a crucial drawback, as the focus is concerned with the analysis of changing trends within a country, with its possible relation with on-going macro socio-economic conditions, and on the different trends observed in different sets of countries behaving in a similar way.

Analysis by gender and age differences confirms known existing results⁶, that is lower self-rated health among girls and a decreasing rating with age; this finding underlines the reliability and consistency of our data.

Given these strengths and limitations, the HBSC data indicates a positive trend for European adolescents in the way they perceive their health over the last decade.

In comparing the first and second half of the decade, although the majority of countries (20 out of 32) demonstrate a significant improvement in self-rated health from 2002 to 2006, a lower number (13 countries) do so in the second period. This observation, combined with the decreased perception of health in some affluent countries (Austria, Denmark and Belgium) but most of all the dramatic decrease in Greece, may be interpreted as a signal of the impact of the economic crisis in Europe which started in 2007-08.

It is difficult to compare these data with similar ones, as there is a paucity of literature comparing trends in SRH among adolescents; few studies are concerned with the reliability of the measure of SRH along time, through adolescence¹³ and from adolescence through to adulthood¹² and of its relationship with future health. Moreover some others try to relate it cross-sectionally with some background conditions, such as socioeconomic status¹⁵. Interpreting these trends should therefore be done with much caution and with the help of significant data on the countries condition during this decade.

Should this relationship be confirmed by the analysis of macro data on the different countries, the SRH indicator could be a powerful and sensitive tool for public health in terms of monitoring the

effect of large scale socio-economic events in a given society on the well-being of adolescents and not only as a predictor of their health in the adult life.

Acknowledgements

The Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC) study is an international study carried out in collaboration with the WHO/Europe. The international coordinator of the study was Candace Currie, University of St. Andrews, Scotland. The data bank manager of the study was Oddrun Samdal, University of Bergen, Norway. A complete list of participating countries and researchers is available on the HBSC website (<http://www.hbsc.org>). We would like to thank all pupils, teachers and scientific co-workers who participated in the HBSC surveys.

Funding

The data collection for each HBSC survey is funded at the national level. Financial support is provided by various government ministries, research foundations and other funding bodies.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

Keypoints

- This is the first cross-national study of a decennial trend in Self-Rated Health of youth in Europe and North America.
- HBSC data indicates an overall positive trend for European adolescents in the way they perceive their health over the last decade.
- A remarkable number of countries show a significant decrease in Self-Rated Health in the period 2006-10, among them some affluent countries (Austria, Denmark and Belgium) but mainly Greece.

- This observation may be interpreted as a signal of the impact of the economic crisis in Europe which started in 2007-08.
- SRH can be considered a sensitive tool for public health in terms of monitoring the effect of large scale socio-economic events and not only as a predictor of health in the adult life.

References

1. Petersen AC, Leffert N, Graham B, Alwin J, Ding S. Promoting mental health during the transition into adolescence. In: Schulenberg J, Maggs JL, Hurrelmann K, eds. Health risks and developmental transitions during adolescence. New York, Cambridge University Press; 1999:471-491.
2. Vingilis ER, Wade TJ, Seeley JS. Predictors of adolescent self-rated health. Can J Public Health 2002;93:193–197.
3. Breidablik HJ, Meland E, Lydersen S. Self-rated health in adolescence: a multifactorial composite. Scan J Public Health 2008;36:12–20.
4. Torsheim T, Currie C, Boyce W, Kalnins I, Overpeck M, Haugland S. Material deprivation and self-rated health: a multilevel study of adolescents from 22 European and North American countries. Soc Sci Med 2004;59:1–12.
5. Bradley RH, Corwyn RF. Socioeconomic status and child development. Annu Rev Psychol 2002;53:371–399.
6. Cavallo F, Zambon A, Borraccino A, Raven-Sieber U, Torsheim T, Lemma P. Girls growing through adolescence have a higher risk of poor health. Qual Life Res 2006;15:1577–1585.
7. Kelleher, CC, Tay, J, Nic Gabhainn, S. Influence on self-rated health of socio-demographic, lifestyle and affluence factors: an analysis of the Irish and International Health Behaviours Among School-Aged Children (HBSC) datasets. Irish Medical Journal 2007;100:44-47.
8. Torsheim T, Wold B. School-related stress, support, and subjective health complaints among early adolescents: a multilevel approach. J Adolesc 2001;24:701-713.

9. Breidablik HJ, Meland E, Lydersen S. Self-rated health during adolescence: stability and predictors of change (Young-HUNT study, Norway). *Eur J Public Health* 2009;19:73-78.
10. Wade TJ, Vingilis E. The development of self-rated health during adolescence: An exploration of inter- and intra-cohort effects. *Can J Public Health* 1999;90:90-94.
11. Idler EL, Benyamini Y. Self-rated health and mortality: A review of twenty-seven community studies. *J Health Soc Behav* 1997;38:21-37.
12. Bauldry S, Shanahan MJ, Boardman JD, Miech RA, Macmillan R. A life course model of self-rated health through adolescence and young adulthood. *Soc Sci Med* 2012;75:1311-1320.
13. Fosse NE, Haas SA: Validity and Stability of Self-reported Health Among Adolescents in a Longitudinal, Nationally Representative Survey. *Pediatrics* 2009;123:e496-501
14. Currie C, NicGabhainn S, Godeau E . The Health Behaviour in School-aged Children: WHO Collaborative Cross-National (HBSC) study: origins, concept, history and development 1982–2008. *Int J Public Health* 2009;54 Suppl 2:131-139.
15. Richter M, Moor I, van Lenthe FJ. Explaining socioeconomic differences in adolescent self-rated health: the contribution of material, psychosocial and behavioural factors. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2012;66:691-697.

Tables

Table 1 – Odds Ratios for excellent Self Rated Health (dichotomised in ‘Excellent’ vs. ‘Good-Fair-Poor’) for each country, adjusted for survey year, gender and age group.

	<i>N surveyed</i> <i>(% with data)</i>	<i>2006 vs.</i> <i>2002</i>	<i>2010 vs.</i> <i>2002</i>	<i>2010 vs.</i> <i>2006</i>	<i>Female</i>	<i>13yrs</i>	<i>15yrs</i>
Austria	13930 (93.1)	1.348***	1.166**	0.865**	0.567***	0.708***	0.490***
Belgium (Flemish)	14347 (97.1)	0.949	0.705***	0.742***	0.681***	0.672***	0.483***
Belgium (French)	11955 (93.3)	0.828***	0.907	1.095	0.641***	0.761***	0.558***
Canada	25367 (98.1)	1.164**	1.212***	1.041	0.635***	0.667***	0.513***
Croatia	15521 (99.6)	1.534***	1.403***	0.915	0.612***	0.733***	0.445***
Czech Republic	13957 (98.4)	0.825***	0.991	1.201**	0.546***	0.913	0.774***
Denmark	14158 (98.9)	1.197***	0.996	0.832**	0.567***	0.679***	0.571***
England	13986 (97.8)	1.072	1.492***	1.392***	0.522***	0.720***	0.640***
Estonia	12642 (99.7)	1.842***	1.669***	0.906	0.741***	0.905	0.764***
Finland	16824 (98.1)	0.824***	0.843***	1.023	0.630***	0.714***	0.673***
Germany	17636 (99.0)	1.407***	1.587***	1.128**	0.567***	0.753***	0.701***
Greece	12316 (99.4)	2.267***	1.998***	0.882*	0.729***	0.648***	0.511***
Greenland	13264 (95.0)	0.637***	0.692***	1.086	0.623***	0.626***	0.363***
Hungary	12171 (98.6)	0.708***	0.836**	1.181**	0.612***	0.839**	0.570***
Ireland	12301 (98.9)	1.301***	1.255***	0.964	0.674***	0.784***	0.635***
Italy	12986 (99.2)	1.243***	1.211***	0.974	0.542***	0.757***	0.556***

Latvia	11832 (99.1)	1.222**	1.502***	1.229**	0.525***	0.709***	0.532***
Lithuania	16488 (99.3)	1.376***	1.758***	1.278***	0.515***	0.729***	0.545***
Macedonia	13142 (99.6)	1.587***	1.783***	1.124*	0.620***	0.658***	0.455***
The	12926 (99.3)	1.317***	1.294***	0.983	0.528***	0.782***	0.584***
Netherlands							
Norway	13881 (98.8)	1.345***	1.271***	0.945	0.608***	0.819***	0.646***
Poland^a	15996 (99.7)	1.001	0.467***	0.467***	0.591***	0.716***	0.441***
Portugal	10781 (99.1)	1.606***	1.611***	1.003	0.568***	0.821**	0.584***
Russia	21238 (99.1)	1.591***	2.124***	1.335***	0.484***	0.759***	0.506***
Scotland	17173 (99.4)	1.158**	1.144*	0.988	0.557***	0.653***	0.465***
Slovenia	14416 (99.7)	0.995	1.193***	1.199***	0.559***	0.690***	0.511***
Spain	19599 (99.2)	1.146**	1.518***	1.325***	0.551***	0.778***	0.568***
Sweden	14739 (98.7)	1.057	1.059	1.002	0.596***	0.704***	0.564***
Switzerland	15496 (98.6)	1.056	1.221***	1.155**	0.689***	0.815***	0.674***
Ukraine	14848 (98.7)	0.866*	1.182**	1.366***	0.413***	0.651***	0.443***
USA	14972 (98.6)	0.791***	0.955	1.206**	0.642***	0.723***	0.594***
Wales	13518 (98.7)	1.352***	1.764***	1.305***	0.485***	0.715***	0.660***

*** p<0.001, ** p<0.01, * p<0.05

^a Translation of this item was changed in 2010 therefore results might not be comparable with previous ones.